

FRAMily Meeting 2026

Workshop 4: Closing Reflection & Future Outlook

Dr.-Ing. Niklas Grabbe

Technical University of Munich

TUM School of Engineering and Design


Chair of Ergonomics

n.grabbe@tum.de



World Cafe

- Table A: Key learnings
 - Table B: Open challenges and questions
 - Table C: Future directions for the community
- One responsible person for each table
- 3 rounds (3x 5min) → People switch between tables
- Presentation & discussion in the end (10min)



Results

Key Learnings

- IL Beers are better than SPDM Beers
- Other perspectives and inspired by other's enthusiasm
- All the available softwares
- Fun factor -> there was enough interest in maritime to have its own session.
- Dividing people to the group (based on their topic order of)
- FRAM is becoming more quant. than qualitative
- " HAS BEEN MORE AND MORE USED AS A TOOL FOR COMPUTER SIMULATION
- nuances between UAI and UAD

Handwritten signature: Niklas Grabbe

B Open Challenges and Questions

Is variability good, neutral or bad?

Make FRAM more available to be learned and applied. (and how?)

Group coordination Responsibility? (Hahngehl 20)

Proof Value-added from FRAM Analysis

Increase FRAM Awareness for everyone. *Industry Academia*

Improve FRAM Software usability

Develop more Analytical Power

BACK TO THE FUTURE

RESEARCH → EMERGENCE → RESONANCE

TRANSLATION INTO PRACTICE

- IS QUANT. USEFUL/MEM.
- RELATION WITH THE 5 STEPS

⇒ "By Design" → FRAM CONTRIBUTION

- SAFETY
- SECURITY
- PERFORMANCE

⇒ RELATION TO RESILIENCE

HOW DO WE DO RE?